SHIFTLEFT

Maintainer insight | Risk Quantification

CPARTA

- Developing and enabling a Swedish Commercial Cyber Defense
- Protect Swedish Critical Infrastructure and Critical Industry
- Development of Strategic Initiatives and Capabilities



About Me

- Strategic Coordinator Cparta
- Team Capt. Swedish National Hacking Team
 Vulnerability Research Margin Research





Analysis of motivation and intentions



Quality assurance and compliancy



Weakest link and risk assessment





Analysis of motivation and intentions



Contributor metadata analysis & code analysis





Contributor metadata analysis







Contributor metadata analysis & code analysis











Contributor metadata analysis & code analysis



By which metrics do we quantify code quality?





SHIFTLEFT Maintainer overview of contributors





		Pillow / libImaging / Convert.c			1
	Code	Blame 1132 lines (961 loc) · 26.1 KB	Raw	<u>ب</u> 0	
Ľ	TAOO	CONVEIL - CONVEILEISLYJ.CONVEIL,			
	1069	break;			
	1070	}			
	1071				
	1072	if (!convert)			
	1073	#ifdef notdef			
	1074	return (Imaging) ImagingError_valueError("conversion not supported	");		
	1075	#else			
	1070	t atatic char buf[254];			
	1077	/* FIYME: may overflow if mode is too large */			
	1070	sprintf(buf, "conversion from %s to %s not supported", imTn->mode, m	ode):		
	1080	return (Imaging) ImagingError ValueError(buf):	000,1		
	1081	}			
	1082	#endif			
	1083				
	1084	<pre>imOut = ImagingNew2(mode, imOut, imIn);</pre>			
	1085	<pre>if (!imOut)</pre>			
	1086	return NULL;			
	1087				
	1088	<pre>ImagingSectionEnter(&cookie);</pre>			
	1089	<pre>for (y = 0; y < imIn->ysize; y++)</pre>			
	1090	(*convert)((UINT8*) imOut->image[y], (UINT8*) imIn->image[y],			
	1091	imIn->xsize);			
	1092	ImagingSectionLeave(&cookie);			
	1093				
	Laun	chpad return imOut;			
	1070				





C code from 1997 With critical FIXMEs



op

 \bigcirc

Reagent – Margin Research



1 2	<pre>MATCH (r:Repo {full_name: 'torvalds/linux'})-[:AUTHOR_IN COMMITTER_IN]-(u:Us RETURN r, u INUT 20</pre>
م چچ Graph	
Table	
A	
) Code	





SHIFTLEFT Maintainer overview of dependencies







SHIFTLEFT Maintainer Weakest Link Risk identification









SHIFTLEFT Maintainer Continuous Quality & Risk Report







Metrics of "good" code & Metrics of "trusted" contributor



- Issues generated / LoC (vulnerability does not require malicious intent)
- Al code quality assessment (Lack of maintenance)
- Graph based contribution analysis (Behaviour & Intent)





Metrics of "good" code & Metrics of "trusted" contributor



- Bug transmission project-to-project adoption
 e.g. Glibc stealing Musl code with bugs in them
- AI analysis of "Does this commit only solve the issue?"
 O Hidden introductions of features / vulnerabilties
- Analysis of "Does this code alter the scope of access"
 - \circ Accessing new resources



-*- Read comments from end of lifetime to start of lifetime. -*-

```
// Usage implies proof is necessary: assert N <= direction <= E</pre>
void foobar(enum Direction direction) {
 switch (direction) {
 case N: ...
 case W: ...
  case E: ...
  // switch case has holes in enumeration coverage. Proof state: assert N <= direction <= E</pre>
  // End of lifetime of direction.
void karbar() {
 // Bug is found since there exists no proof for assert N <= direction</pre>
 // end of life for direction, sink point in syscall assumes no bounding
 enum Direction direction = ... // user input
 // Proof state: assert N <= direction</pre>
if (direction <= E) { System.exit(0); }</pre>
 // Code path not reachable for direction > E. Satisfies iff assert N <= direction</pre>
 foobar(direction) // on call-site needs to prove: "assert N <= direction <= E"
```

Lean proof state – challenge to communicate to maintainer. Needs to be intuitive

n: N s: N → R h1: n > 2 h2: attainable n s h1': 2 < \uparrow n ⊢ 0 < \uparrow n - 3

Messages (1)

▼ prev_bound.lean:222:6

linarith failed to find a contradiction

▼ case h n: N s: N → R h1: n > 2 h2: attainable n s h1': 2 < nat: 0 ≥ n - 3 ⊢ False



- Imperial College London teaching lean for formal proofs
- Integrating formal proofs in to language design
 - In an intuitive way using AlphaProof et.c
- Theorem proving is already a part of industry
 - PLC industrial systems
 - Proof of soundness for cryptocurrencies (Zellic et al.)



Questions?

